Library

1	BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD	
2	STATE OF WASHINGTON	
3	IN THE MATTER OF ) HOWARD NAGLE, )	
4	Appellant, )	PCHB No. 77-12
5	v. )	FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,
6	) SOUTHWEST AIR POLLUTION )	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER
7	CONTROL AUTHORITY,	
8	Respondent.	
9		

THIS MATTER, the appeal of a \$100 civil penalty for outdoor burning allegedly in violation of respondent's Section 4.01 of Regulation I having come on regularly for formal hearing on the 23d day of May, 1977 in Longview, Washington, and appellant Howard Nagle appearing pro se, and respondent Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority appearing through its attorney James D. Ladley with William A. Harrison, hearing examiner presiding, and the Board having considered the exhibits, records and files herein and having reviewed the Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order of the presiding officer mailed to

the parties on the 31st day of May, 1977, and more than twenty days 1 having elapsed from said service, and 2 The Board having received no exceptions to said Proposed Findings 3 of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order and the Board being fully advised 4 in the premises, now therefore, 5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said Proposed 6 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order dated the 31st day of 7 May, 1977, and incorporated by reference herein and attached hereto as 8 Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's Final Findings 9 of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein. 10 DONE at Lacey, Washington, this\_\_\_\_5 day of July, 1977. 11 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 12 13 14 GISSBERG, 15 16 17 18 19 DAVE J.-MOONEY, Member 20 212223 2425

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

26

## CERTIFICATION OF MAILING 1 I, LaRene Barlin, certify that I mailed, postage prepaid, copies 2 of the foregoing document on the day of July, 1977, 3 to each of the following-named parties at the last known post office 4 addresses, with the proper postage affixed to the respective envelopes: 5 Mr James D. Ladley 6 Attorney at Law P. O. Box 938 7 Vancouver, Washington 98660 8 Mr. Howard C. Nagle 1204 Behshel Hts 9 Kelso, Washington 98626 10 Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority 7601-H N.E. Hazel Dell Avenue 11 Vancouver, Washington 98665 12 13 14 Parles 15 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

27 AND ORDER

23

24

25

1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 HOWARD NAGLE, 4 PCHB No. 77-12 Appellant, 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, ν. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 6 AND ORDER SOUTHWEST AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY, 7 Respondent. 8 9 This matter, the appeal of a \$100 civil penalty for outdoor 10 burning allegedly in violation of respondent's Section 4.01 of Regulation I 11 came on for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board 12 convened at Longview, Washington on May 23, 1977. Hearing examiner 13 William A. Harrison presided alone. Respondent elected a formal hearing. 14 Appellant, Howard Nagle, appeared and represented himself. 15 Respondent appeared by and through its attorney, James D. Ladley. 16 Court reporter Karen Joubert recorded the proceedings. 17

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were examined.

BEFORE THE

1 From testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Pollution Control
2 Hearings Board makes these

## FINDINGS OF FACT

I

On January 11, 1977 the appellant, Howard Nagle, ignited an outdoor fire at a building site in Longview, Washington. The appellant, a building contractor, was constructing a "spec house" on the site and ignited the fire to dispose of leftover construction materials. The appellant owned the land upon which the spec house was being constructed and upon which the fire was ignited.

ΙI

The fire was some eight feet in diameter and 18 inches high and contained plywood, 2 x 4s and not more than ten feet of insulated wiring.

III

Shortly after the fire was ignited a policeman detected the fire and instructed the appellant to extinguish it. The appellant attempted to extinguish it but left the scene of the fire before the fire was out. Shortly thereafter the fire came to the attention of the Longview Fire Department which in turn notified the respondent, Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority. Inspectors for both the Longview Fire Department and the respondent arrived at the site of the fire at approximately 9:50 AM and observed that the dimensions and contents of the fire were as described above. The fire was extinguished by the Longview Fire Department and a formal notice of violation (R-2) was subsequently served upon appellant.

27 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

 $^{24}$ 

IV

The appellant has no prior record of any violation of the regulations of respondent. The appellant was unaware that the respondent restricts open burning or that a permit from the respondent is required in order to conduct open burning. The appellant has been a building contractor for a relatively short period of time.

V

Any Conclusion of Law hereinafter recited which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

From these Findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I

The Legisl ture of the State of Washington has enacted the following policy on outdoor fires:

It is the policy of the state to achieve and maintain high levels of air quality and to this end to minimize to the greatest extent reasonably possible the burning of outdoor fires. Consistent with this policy, the legislature declares that such fires should be allowed only on a limited basis under strict regulation and close control. (RCW 70.94.740).

Pursuant to this and other legislative authority, the respondent has adopted its Regulation I, Article IV, Section 4.01(b) which provides in relevant part:

No person shall ignite, cause to be ignited, permit to be ignited, or suffer, allow, or maintain any open fire within the jurisdiction of the Authority, except as provided in this Regulation . . . (b) Open burning may be done under permit: . . .

Appellant's failure to apply for and obtain an open burning permit

27 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

1 | violated respondent's Regulation I, Article IV, Section 4.01(b).

Respondent's Regulation I, Article IV, Section 4.01(b)(2)(v) provides:

> No material containing asphalt, petroleum products, paints, rubber products, plastic or any substance which normally emits dense smoke or obnoxious odors will be burned.

In burning a quantity of insulated wiring the appellant has violated respondent's Regulation I, Article IV, Section 4.01(b)(2)(v).

II

Under our State's policy of limited outdoor burning, it is not safe to assume that building site materials may be indiscriminately disposed of by open burning. Before igniting such fires it is the responsibility of the citizen concerned to become aware of and to adhere precisely to air pollution control rules, such as respondent's Regulation I. Because, however, the violations committed by the appellant are his first offenses against respondent's Regulation I, part of the assessed penalty shall be suspended.

III

Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such.

From these Conclusions the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes this

ORDER

The \$100 civil penalty is affirmed, provided however, that \$65 of the civil penalty is suspended on condition that appellant not violate respondent's regulations for a period of six months after this 27 Order becomes final.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 $^{\circ}6$ 

Hay day of DATED this POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS EOARD WILLIAM A. HARRISON, Hearing Examiner FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

, 197,.